

Serial Number: 10/644,863
Art Unit: 3765
Filed: 08/21/2003

Examiner: Hoey, Alissa L

DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is solely designated and illustrated as 'Prior Art'. Figure 9 and Figure 13 are to be omitted since those illustrations do not benefit in the prosecution of this patent. Each replacement sheets are labeled and entitled 'Replacement Sheet' with appropriate numeric indices to illustrate in numeric value the number of replacement sheets provided.

AMENDMENTS TO CLAIM

In lieu of the claims anticipated by Morkunas (US Patent No. 5,708,977), the claims for this current application depicts claims which are different and variant to that of Morkunas. The current application depicts a one size fit wear. More specifically, the invention relates to an article of clothing used to be worn as a top wear. The one size fit wear is capable of fitting wearers having a range of different sizes. The present invention tries to improve on an idea already available in the current market of an adjustable garment and particularly to a single size top wear capable of fitting wearers having a range of different sized torso. Morkunas invention (US Patent No. 5,708,977) explicitly relates to an expansion unit specifically used solely for the purpose of expansion by placing expansions units on strategic locations on clothing for enlargement of the garment when a baby grows or an individual who gains weight. Vice-versa, these expansions units are again located on strategic locations on clothing for contraction of the garment. In Morkunas invention, the most important factor that must be noted here is that the

Serial Number: 10/644,863
Art Unit: 3765
Filed: 08/21/2003

Examiner: Hoey, Alissa L

expansion units are only located in strategic locations; that is to say only limited to areas where an individual growth or contractions might occur. For example, when a baby grows, it is only natural for the baby's overall body, beginning with the baby's torso primarily along the shoulders and below the crotch area. Hence, the only place these expansions units will be placed are along these specified areas. The one size fit wear overcomes this disadvantages of Morkunas invention by several factors. The one size fit wear according to the present invention has an improved construction so as to be capable of fitting individual wearers having different torso sizes. One of the significant advantages of the one size fit wear according to the present invention is that it has the aesthetic appeal of a uniform style garment, being capable of custom fitting all wearers within a predetermined range of torso sizes without requiring the individual from having to buy different sizes or for individuals whose size may lie between two sizes. Another significant advantage and difference to Morkunas's invention is that the one size fit wear according to the present invention is capable of custom fitting all individuals within a predetermined range of torso sizes, without the drawback of changes in shape due to different torso sizes.

In view of Morkunas's claims, the claims of the current applications are amended to the following:

What is claimed:

1. A one size fit wear comprising:

A main body having a plurality of fabrics forming a seam portion having a lower

Serial Number: 10/644,863
Art Unit: 3765
Filed: 08/21/2003

Examiner: Hoey, Alissa L

peripheral edge, wherein at least some of the said plurality of seams are composed of both uniaxially and multiaxially adjustable fastener aligned to stretch accordingly only in a peripheral direction so that the said main body has an appearance of being adjusted rather than expanded, said uniaxially adjustable fastener being woven fabric so that the said main body is expanded to fit a range of torso sizes.

2. A one size fit wear as claimed in claim 1, wherein the adjustable fastener is sewn to an interior of the seam to an interior of the seam portion of the said main body.
3. A one size fits wear as claimed in claim 1 having the appearance of being a fitted while actually being adjustable to fit a range of torso sizes.

In view of the difference, the applicant requests the patent examiner to approve the current application for a patent.

Thank you.